arXiv:1405.7110v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 28 May 2014

Theory for electric dipole superconductivity with an application for bilayer excitons

Qing-Dong Jiang,[1] Zhi-qiang Bao,[2] Qing-Feng Sun,[1,3,*] and X. C. Xie[1,3,†]

[1]*International Center for Quantum Materials, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P.R. China*
[2]*Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*
[3]*Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing 100871, P.R. China*

(Dated: May 29, 2014)

Exciton superfluid is a macroscopic quantum phenomenon in which large quantities of excitons undergo the Bose-Einstein condensation. Recently, exciton superfluid has been widely studied in various bilayer systems. However, experimental measurements only provide indirect evidence for the existence of exciton superfluid. In this article, by viewing the exciton in a bilayer system as an electric dipole, we provide a general theory for the electric dipole superconductivity, and derive the London-type and Ginzburg-Landau-type equations for the electric dipole superconductors. By using these equations, we discover the Meissner-type effect and the electric dipole current Josephson effect. These effects can provide direct evidence for the formation of the exciton superfluid state in bilayer systems and pave new ways to drive an electric dipole current.

Since the idea of excitonic condensation was proposed about fifty years ago[1,2], exciton systems have attracted a lot of interest. With the development of micromachining technology in the last two decades, high-quality bilayer exciton systems can be fabricated in the laboratories, in which one layer hosts electrons and the other layer hosts holes.[3,4] Many new interaction phenomena have been experimentally reported in the bilayer exciton systems,[5–14] including the vanishing Hall resistance for each layer[5], the resonantly enhanced zero-bias interlayer tunneling phenomenon[7], the large bilayer counterflow conductivity[8], the Coulomb drag[9–12], etc. These phenomena strongly imply the formation of the exciton condensate superfluid state, in which many excitons crowd into the ground state. However, because the exciton is charge neutral, there are still no effective methods to directly confirm the formation of the superfluid state. Thus, whether the superfluid state really forms is still unclear.

Before any further discussion, we need first to point out the specificity of excitons in bilayer systems. Because the electrons and holes are separated in space and bound with each other by the Coulomb interaction, the exciton in a bilayer system can be seen as a charge neutral electric dipole (as shown in Fig. 1a). On the other hand, superconductivity has been one of the central subjects in physics. The superconductor state has several fascinating properties, such as zero resistance[15], the Meissner effect[16], the Josephson effect[17], and so on, which have many applications nowadays[4]. It is now well known that the superconductor is the condensate superfluid state of the Cooper pairs[19], which can be viewed as electric monopoles. In other words, the superconductor state is the electric monopole condensated superfluid state. Thus, it is natural to ask whether the electric dipole superfluid state possesses many similar fascinating properties, just like its counterpart, the electric monopole superfluid state.

In this article, we will construct a general theory of electric dipole superconductivity under an external electromagnetic field, and apply this theory to the bilayer exciton systems, revealing the basic characteristics of the electric dipole superconductors. Apart from the bilayer exciton systems, the electric dipole superconductor may also exist in other two or three dimensional systems, e.g., the Bose-Einstein condensate of ultracold polar molecules[20–22]. In fact, the ultracold polar molecules have been successfully produced in the laboratory over the past decade[20–22]. In addition, a new quantum state was proposed recently[23–25], a magnetic dipole superconductor named the spin superconductor. Both electric and magnetic dipole superconductors contain some similar properties. Below we first derive the London-type and Ginzburg-Landau-type (GL-type) equations of the electric dipole superconductor. These equations can be applied to all electric dipole superconductors independent of specific systems and we apply them to study various physical properties of the electric dipole superconductor. By using these equations, we find that the Meissner-type effect and the Josephson effect of the electric dipole current. With the Meissner-type effect, a non-uniform external magnetic field can cause a super electric dipole current in an electric dipole superconductor, and a super electric dipole current can generate a magnetic field that is against the spatial variation of the external magnetic field. Considering the bilayer exciton systems, we show that the magnetic field induced by the super dipole current is measurable by today's technology. We also show that the frequency in the AC Josephson effect of the electric dipole current is equal to that of the AC Josephson effect in the normal superconductor. These new effects discovered in this work can not only provide direct evidence for the existence of the exciton condensate superfluid state in the bilayer systems, but also pave new ways to drive an electric dipole current.

Results

London-type equations of the electric dipole superconductor



FIG. 1: **A side view of the exciton in bilayer system and the induced supercurrent by a non-uniform magnetic field.** (**a**) The top and bottom layers host holes and electrons respectively, and the middle blue block stands for the interlayer barrier which prevents tunneling between the two layers. (**b**) The left (right) panel shows the induced super electric dipole current for $\partial B_z/\partial z < 0$ ($\partial B_z/\partial z > 0$). The arrows on the blue lines denote the direction of positive charge flow in each layer.

Considering a bosonic electric dipole condensate superfluid state, namely the electric dipole superconductor, under an external electric field, the force on the electric dipole $\mathbf{p}_0$ is

$$\mathbf{F} = (\mathbf{p}_0 \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{E} = m^* \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} \tag{1}$$

which accelerates the dipole. Here, $\mathbf{E}$ is the electric field, m^* is the effective mass of the dipole and $\mathbf{v}$ is its velocity. The moving electric dipole induces an electric dipole current, which can be described by a tensor $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbf{p}} = n\mathbf{p_0}\mathbf{v}$, where n is the dipole density. It should be pointed out that, in this work the direction of the electric dipole vector is fixed in the z-direction (as is the case in a bilayer exciton system), thus we only need one vector $\mathbf{J_p} = np_0\mathbf{v}$ to describe the super dipole current density. The derivative of $\mathbf{J_p}$ with respect to time is

$$\frac{d\mathbf{J_p}}{dt} = \beta(\hat{p}_0 \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{E}, \tag{2}$$

where $\beta = np_0^2/m^*$ and $\hat{p}_0 = \mathbf{e}_z$ is the unit vector in the direction of $\mathbf{p}_0$. We can see that, just as $\mathbf{E}$ accelerates the super electric current[4], $(\hat{p}_0 \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{E}$ accelerates the super electric dipole current. Taking curl on both sides of the equation (2) and using the Maxwell equation[1] $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\partial\mathbf{B}/\partial t$, we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla \times \mathbf{J}_p) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\beta(\hat{p}_0 \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B}]$. Integrating over the time t, we get the London-type equation for $\mathbf{J}_p$,

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{J}_p = -\beta(\hat{p}_0 \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B}, \tag{3}$$

where the integral constant is taken to be zero due to the requirement of thermodynamic equilibrium. Equations (2) and (3) play similar roles as the London equations for normal superconductors[4], so we call them the first and the second London-type equations for the electric dipole superconductor.

Equation (3) implies that the gradient of a magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ will induce a super electric dipole current. As is shown in Fig. 1b, if the gradient of magnetic field $\partial B_z/\partial z < 0$, the super dipole current flows in the counterclockwise direction (left panel of Fig.1b); if $\partial B_z/\partial z > 0$, the super dipole current flows in the clockwise direction (right panel of Fig.1b). In addition, the super dipole current can also have a feedback for an external magnetic field. The magnetic field generated by a moving electric dipole $\mathbf{p}_0$ with velocity $\mathbf{v}$ is equivalent to that generated by a static magnetic moment $\mathbf{m} = -\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{p}_0$.[1,2] As a consequence, the magnetic field induced by the super dipole current $\mathbf{J}_p$ is equivalent to that induced by the static magnetic moment distribution (magnetization) $\mathbf{M} = n\mathbf{m} = -\mathbf{J}_p \times \hat{p}_0$. In materials, the last Maxwell equation takes the form $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0(\mathbf{j}_f + \nabla \times \mathbf{M} + \partial\mathbf{D}/\partial t)$,[1] where $\mathbf{j}_f$ stands for the free electric current and $\mathbf{D}$ is the effective electric field. In the equilibrium case, $\partial\mathbf{D}/\partial t = 0$ and with no free electric current present, only the super dipole current exists, so we obtain the magnetic field equation in the electric dipole superconductor:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = -\mu_0 \nabla \times (\mathbf{J}_p \times \hat{p}_0). \tag{4}$$

The London-type equation (3) and the magnetic field equation (4) govern the magnetic field and the super dipole current in an electric dipole superconductor. An alternative set of equations that are equivalent to equations (3) and (4) are given in Supplementary Note 1. From equations (3) and (4), we can obtain the Meissner-type effect against the spatial variation of an external magnetic field, which will be studied below. We can see the effect of equation (3) by considering a massless Dirac particle for which the factor $\beta \to \infty$. In this case, the spatial variation of the total magnetic field $\partial_z B_z$ has to vanish everywhere inside an electric dipole superconductor in order to satisfy the equation (3). This means that $\partial_z B_z$ is completely screened out.

Ginzburg-Landau-type equations of the electric dipole superconductor

Since the electric dipole condensate is a macroscopical quantum state, we can use a quasi-wave function (or the order parameter) $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ to describe it. Then its free energy can be written as $F_s = \int_V f_s d\mathbf{r}$, where f_s is the free energy density. In analogy with the superconductor, f_s can be expressed as:

$$f_s = f_n + \alpha(T)|\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2 + \frac{\beta(T)}{2}|\psi(\mathbf{r})|^4 + \frac{|(\hat{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{p}_0 \times \mathbf{B})\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2}{2m^*} + \frac{|\mathbf{B}|^2}{2\mu_0}, \tag{5}$$

where f_n is the density of free energy in normal state and the momentum operator $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = -i\hbar\nabla$. The two terms $\alpha(T)|\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2$ and $\beta(T)|\psi(\mathbf{r})|^4/2$ are the lower order terms in the series expansion of the free energy f_s, which have similar meanings as those in the normal superconductor.[3,4] Particularly, the gauge invariant term $|(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B})\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2/2m^*$ can be viewed as the kinetic energy of the electric dipole superconductor (see Supplementary Note 2). Substitute $\mathbf{B}=\nabla\times\mathbf{A}$ and minimize the free energy with respect to ψ^* and the magnetic vector potential $\mathbf{A}$ respectively, we get (see Supplementary Note 3)

$$\alpha(T)\psi+\beta(T)|\psi|^2\psi+\frac{[\hat{\mathbf{p}}+\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B}]^2\psi}{2m^*}=0, \tag{6}$$

$$\nabla\times\mathbf{B}=-\mu_0\nabla\times(\mathbf{J}_p\times\hat{p}_0), \tag{7}$$

where

$$\mathbf{J}_p=\frac{p_0}{2m^*}[i\hbar(\psi\nabla\psi^*-\psi^*\nabla\psi)+2\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B}\,|\psi|^2]. \tag{8}$$

Equations (6) and (8) are the first and the second GL-type equations, respectively. They provide a full phenomenological description of the dipole superconductor. Since the velocity operator is $\hat{\mathbf{v}}=(\hat{\mathbf{p}}+\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B})/m^*$, the super electric dipole current can be expressed as $p_0 Re(\psi^*\hat{\mathbf{v}}\psi)=\frac{p_0}{2m^*}[i\hbar(\psi\nabla\psi^*-\psi^*\nabla\psi)+2\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B}\,|\psi|^2]$. Comparing the expression $p_0 Re(\psi^*\hat{\mathbf{v}}\psi)$ and equation (8), we find that $\mathbf{J}_p$ is exactly the super dipole current density. It should be noted that equation (7) is the same as the magnetic field equation (4). It indicates that the GL-type theory gives a more general result. Next we derive the London-type equations from the GL-type equations. The order parameter $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ can be written as $|\psi(\mathbf{r})|e^{i\theta(\mathbf{r})}$, where $|\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2$ is proportional to the density of dipoles n and θ represents the phase. For simplicity, we assume the amplitude $|\psi|$ is the same everywhere in the dipole superconductor, whereas the phase $\theta(\mathbf{r})$ are allowed to change in order to account for the super dipole current. Substitute $\psi=|\psi(\mathbf{r})|e^{i\theta(\mathbf{r})}$ into equation (8), we get $\mathbf{J}_p=\frac{p_0 n}{m^*}(\hbar\nabla\theta+\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B})$. Furthermore, if we take curl on both sides, the London-type equation (3) is recovered. It indicates that the London-type equations can be obtained from the GL-type equations, which shows the validity and consistency of our theory.

Meissner-type effect of the electric dipole superconductor

In the following, we will use the London-type equations to analyse the Meissner-type effect. To begin with, we consider a two-dimensional circular dipole superconductor with the radius r_{out} located in a non-uniform external magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ created by a cylindrical hollow conductor with the inner (outer) radius R_{in} (R_{out}) and the height h (shown in Fig. 2a). The distance between the cylindrical hollow conductor and the dipole superconductor is t. Fig. 2b depicts the cross-section of the device. A uniform electric current along the azimuthal direction in the hollow conductor creates a non-uniform magnetic field with a gradient $\partial_z B_z^{ext}$ (see Supplementary Note 4), which can induce a super dipole current in the electric dipole superconductor. Substitute $\partial_z B_z^{ext}$ into the London-type equation (3), considering the rotational symmetry of the whole device and $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{J}_p=0$, we can obtain the super electric dipole current density J_p (flowing in the azimuthal direction):

$$J_p(r)=\frac{-\beta}{r}\int_0^r r'dr'[\partial_z B_z^{ext}(r',z)]. \tag{9}$$

In the following, we consider that the electric dipole superconductor is the bilayer exciton system (see Fig. 1a). Then the super dipole current can be viewed as a counterflow electric current in the bilayer as shown in Fig.1b and thereby induces a magnetic field $\mathbf{B}^{ind}$. Since the counterflow current in bilayer and the rotational symmetry about the z axis, the induced magnetic field $\mathbf{B}^{ind}$ in middle plane m only has the nonzero r-component B_r^{ind}. Although the z-component $B_z^{ind}=0$, the gradient $\partial_z B_z^{ind}$ does not vanish. Fig. 2d and 2e show $\partial_z B_z^{ind}$ and B_r^{ind} in plane m which can easily be calculated from the Biot-Savart law (see Supplementary Note 5).

In the calculation, we take cylindrical hollow conductor sizes as $R_{in}=1mm$, $R_{out}=1\,cm$ and $h=1.5\,cm$. The current density in conductor $j=10^8 A/m^2$, which generates the non-uniform external magnetic field $\mathbf{B}^{ext}$. The bilayer exciton specimen, the electric dipole superconductor, is below the conductor with $t=0.1\,mm$ and the radius $r_{out}=1mm$. Here the specimen is just in the hollow region of the conductor, because $\partial_z B_z^{ext}$ is relatively large there (see Supplementary Note 4). The two-dimensional carrier density in each layer n is chosen $10^{12}cm^{-2}$ and the effective mass of exciton $m^*=0.01m_e$ with the electron mass m_e. Fig. 2c, 2d and 2e show respectively the induced super dipole current density J_p, the induced magnetic field variation $\partial_z B_z^{ind}$ and B_r^{ind} versus radius r for bilayer thickness $d=3nm$ and $d=10nm$. A quite large J_p is induced near the edge of the specimen, in which the corresponding electric current density in each layer near the edge is about $15A/m$. From Fig. 2d, we find that $\partial_z B_z^{ind}(r)$ counteracts the variation $\partial_z B_z^{ext}(r)$. This is a Meissner-type effect in the dipole superconductor against a spatial variation of a magnetic field. Notice that it is not against the magnetic field. This is the main difference between the dipole superconductor and (monopole) superconductor. Also notice in Fig. 2d, $\partial_z B_z^{ind}(r)$ is much smaller than $\partial_z B_z^{ext}(r)$ because the thickness d of the dipole superconductor is very small now. If for the thick dipole superconductor or for very small m^*, $\partial_z B_z^{ind}(r)$ can almost be of the same value as $\partial_z B_z^{ext}(r)$, then the spatial variation of the total magnetic field vanishes inside of the dipole superconductor. Fig. 2e shows the induced magnetic field B_r^{ind} by the super dipole current, which can reach about 0.05 Gauss. This magnetic field can be accurately detected by the today's technology. In addition, a recent work has successfully used the SQUID to detect a tiny edge current



FIG. 2: **Meissner-type effect of the dipole superconductor.** (**a,b**) The schematic diagram of the device consisting of a cylindrical hollow conductor and a bilayer exciton system (the dipole superconductor), and the cross section of it. (**c,d**) The induced super dipole current $\mathbf{J_p}$ and the spatial variation of the induced magnetic field $\partial_z B_z^{ind}$ in the middle plane m versus the coordinate r. (**e**) The induced magnetic field B_r^{ind} versus the distance l for thickness $d = 3\,nm$ and $d = 10\,nm$.

(around $0.5A/m$) in the Hall specimen[29]. In our case, the edge current density is around $10A/m$, so it should be detectable using the same method.

The detection of the zero dipole resistance

The most remarkable phenomenon of the Bose-Einstein condensate macroscopic quantum system is superfluid, e.g. the zero resistance phenomenon of the (monopole) superconductor[4,15]. For the dipole superconductor, the dipole resistance is zero, i.e. the electric dipole can flow without dissipation. In the following, we suggest a method to detect the zero dipole resistance.

From the first London-type equation (2), we know that a variation of an electric field $\partial_z \mathbf{E}$ can excite a super dipole current. This excited super dipole current will maintain for a very long time if the dipole resistance is zero. Now, consider an annular dipole superconductor specimen. This annular specimen is placed below the cylindrical hollow conductor (see Fig. 3a and Fig. 3b), and there $\partial_z B_z^{ext}$ is relatively small and $\partial_z A_\theta^{ext}$ is quite large[5] (see Supplementary Note 4). First, let the hollow conductor have an azimuthal electric current j and then cool the specimen into the dipole superconductor state. Next, we abruptly turn off the current j in the conductor. In this process, an azimuthal dipole current J_p will be excited. From the equation (2) and $\mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}$, we have $dJ_p/dt = \beta \partial_z E_\theta^{ext} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\beta \partial_z A_\theta^{ext}]$. Integrating over the time t, we obtain the excited super dipole current:

$$J_p = \beta \partial_z A_\theta^{ext}, \tag{10}$$

where the vector potential A_θ^{ext} is that before the current in the conductor was turned off. Here we have used $A_\theta^{ext} = 0$ after the current turned off and J_p is almost zero at the beginning. Fig. 3c and 3d show the excited super dipole current J_p and the magnetic field B_r^{ind} induced by J_p. From which we find that J_p and B_r^{ind} are quite large. Moreover, this excited super dipole current J_p does not decay for a very long time because of the zero dipole resistance. So one can measure the non-decayness of J_p to confirm the zero dipole resistance.

DC and AC electric dipole current Josephson effect

The Josephson effect is another highlight of the superconductor[17]. Below we use the GL-type equations of the electric dipole superconductor to discuss the dipole current Josephson effect in a dipole superconductor-insulator-dipole superconductor junction. From the GL-type equations (6) and (8) we can see that if $\mathbf{B} = 0$, they are the same as the GL equations of the superconductor when $\mathbf{A} = 0$. Therefore, the DC Josephson effect of the dipole superconductor and the (monopole) superconductor are similar, *i.e.*, the super electric dipole current is $j = j_0 \sin\gamma_0$, where $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1 - \gamma_2$. j_0 is the Josephson critical super dipole current, and γ_1, γ_2 are phases of the dipole superconductors.

Now we study the AC dipole current Josephson effect and consider an electric field variation $\partial_z E_x$. From the first GL-type equation (6), we can get the change of the phase when the super dipole current (in x-direction) passes through the Josephson junction. Its expression is $\gamma = \gamma_0 + \frac{1}{\hbar}\int_1^2 (\mathbf{p}_0 \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_x dx$. Taking the derivative with respect to time, we get $\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t} = \frac{p_0}{\hbar}\int_1^2 (\mathbf{e}_z \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_x dx$. Substituting $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}$, we have $\gamma = \gamma_0 - \omega_0 t$ with $\omega_0 = -\frac{p_0}{\hbar}\int_1^2 \partial_z E_x dx$. As a result, the super dipole current can be written as $j = j_0 \sin(\gamma - \omega_0 t)$. It shows that the dipole current is an alternating current, although the electric field spatial variation is time-independent. We can compare this with the (monopole) superconductor. For the superconductor, a time-independent electric field (or bias) can drive an AC Josephson current. Now a spatial variation of an electric field drives an AC dipole Josephson current.

Next, we consider that the dipole superconductor is the bilayer exciton system. Fig. 4a shows the schematic diagram for the device of dipole current Josephson junction. A thin wire connects the left sides of the two layers, which enables the current to flow between them. Then, if we apply the voltages $-V_2$ and V_2 to the right sides between the bilayer, it establishes an electric field in $-x$ (x) direction in the bottom (top) layer (see Fig. 4a). This means that a spatial variation of electric field, $\partial_z E_x$, is



FIG. 3: **The proposed device for detection of the zero dipole resistance.** (**a,b**) The schematic diagram of the proposed device and the cross section of it. (**c,d**) The excited super electric dipole current and its magnetic field B_r^{ind} with the sizes of the dipole superconductor $r_{in} = 7mm$ and $r_{out} = 9mm$. The other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.



FIG. 4: **The electric dipole current Josephson junction.** (**a**) The schematic diagram for the device of dipole current Josephson junction, the bilayer exciton system-insulator-bilayer exciton system junction. The left sides of the two layers are connected by a wire, and the right sides of the two layers are connected with voltages V_2 and $-V_2$, respectively. The red arrows denote the direction of the electric fields in the top layer and bottom layer, respectively, while the blue arrows represent the flowing direction of holes (electrons) in the top (bottom) layer. (**b**) The comparison between (monopole) superconductivity and dipole superconductivity.

added on junction, so an AC dipole Josephson current is driven and an alternating electric current emerges in the external circuit, although only a DC bias is added. For the bilayer exciton system, $p_0 = ed$ and $\partial_z E_x = 2E_x/d$, so the frequency $\omega_0 = -\frac{2ed}{d\hbar}\int_1^2 E_x dx = \frac{2e}{\hbar}(V_2 - V_1)$. This frequency is the same with that of the AC Josephson effect of the superconductor[17]. The reason is as follows. In the electric current Josephson effect, two electrons form a Cooper pair, and the Cooper pair moves in response to external voltages. In the dipole current Josephson effect in the bilayer system, however, a pair consists of an electron and a hole, which moves in response to the counter voltages. The difference between them is shown in Fig. 4b. It can be seen that the pairs feel the same electric forces in the superconductor and the dipole superconductor. Thus the frequencies of the alternating current should be the same in the two cases. The fact that the results are indeed exactly the same shows that these results of the dipole superconductor are reasonable and credible. In addition, since its frequency is the same as that of the superconductor, it is not difficult to measure in an experiment because the latter has been observed for a long time. As a result, detecting the electric dipole current Josephson effect is another feasible method to verify the formation of the dipole superconductor.

Discussion

In conclusion, we view the exciton condensate superfluid state in bilayer electron system as an electric dipole superconductor state. Then, from the properties of the electric dipoles in an external electromagnetic field, we derive the London-type and the GL-type equations for an electric dipole superconductor. These equations are universal to all dipole superconductors and can also be used to study various properties of a dipole superconductor. By using these equations, we discover the Meissner-type effect against the spatial variation of the magnetic field and the DC and AC dipole current Josephson effects, and also suggest a method to detect the zero dipole resistance. These new effects discovered in this work can not only provide direct evidence for the existence of the exciton superfluid in bilayer electron systems, but also pave new ways to drive an electric dipole current.

References

* sunqf@pku.edu.cn

† xcxie@pku.edu.cn

[1] Keldysh, L. V. & Kopaev, Y. V. Possible instability of semimetallic state toward Coulomb interaction. *Sov. Phys. Solid State* **6**, 2219 (1965).

[2] Lozovik, Y. E. & Yudson, V. I. Feasibility of superfluidity of paired spatially separated electrons and holes - new superconductivity mechanism. *JETP Lett.* **22**, 274 (1975).

[3] Snoke, D. Spontaneous Bose Coherence of Excitons and Polaritons. *Science* **298**, 1368-1372 (2012).

[4] Eisenstein, J. P. & MacDonald, A. H. Bose-Einstein condensation of excitons in bilayer electron systems. *Nature* **432**, 691-694 (2004).

[5] Kellogg, M., Eisenstein, J. P., Pfeiffer, L. N. & West, K. W. Vanishing Hall resistance at high magnetic field in a double-layer two-dimensional electron system. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 036801 (2004).

[6] Su, J.-J. & Macdonaild, A. H. How to make a bilayer exciton condensate flow. *Nature Physics* **4**, 799-802 (2008).

[7] Spielman, I. B., Eisenstein, J. P., Pfeiffer, L. N. & West, K. W. Resonantly enhanced tunneling in a double layer quantum Hall ferromagnet. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5808 (2000).

[8] Tutuc, E., Shayegan, M. & Huse, D. A. Counterflow measurements in strongly correlated GaAs hole bilayers: evidence for electron-hole pairing. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 036802 (2004).

[9] Nandi, D., Finck, A. D. K., Eisenstein, J. P., Pfeiffer, L. N. & West, K. W. Exciton condensation and perfect Coulomb drag. *Nature* **488**, 481-484 (2012).

[10] Gorbachev, R. V. *et al.* Strong Coulomb drag and broken symmetry in double-layer graphene. *Nature Physics* **8**, 896-901 (2012).

[11] Price, A. S., Savchenko, A. K., Narozhny, B. N., Allison, G. & Ritchie, D. A. Giant Fluctuations of Coulomb Drag in a Bilayer System. *Science* **316**, 99-102 (2007).

[12] Titov, M. *et al.* Giant Magnetodrag in Graphene at Charge Neutrality. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 166601 (2013).

[13] Kasprzak, J. *et al.* Bose-Einstein condensation of exciton polaritons. *Nature* **443**, 409-414 (2006).

[14] High, A. A. *et al.* Spontaneous coherence in a cold exciton gas. *Nature* **483**, 584-588 (2012).

[15] Onnes, H. K. The superconductivity of mercury. *Comm. Phy. Lab. Univ. Leiden* **122**, 122-124 (1991).

[16] Meissner, W. & Ochsenfeld, R. Ein neuer Effekt bei Eintritt der Supraleitfähigkeit. *Naturwiss* **21**, 787 (1933).

[17] Josephson, B. D. Possible new effects in superconductive tunnelling. *Phys. Lett.* **1**, 251 (1962).

[18] de Gennes, P. G. *Superconductivity of Metals and Alloys* (Westview Press, Boulder, 1999).

[19] Bardeen, J., Cooper, L. N. & Schrieffer, J. R. Theory of superconductivity. *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 1175 (1957).

[20] Jin, D. S. & Ye, J. Polar molecules in the quantum regime. *Phys. Today* **64**, 27-31 (2011).

[21] Ni, K.-K. *et al.* Dipolar collisions of polar molecules in the quantum regime. *Nature* **464**, 1324-1328 (2010).

[22] Ni, K.-K. *et al.* A high phase-space-density gas of polar molecules. *Science* **322**, 231-235 (2008).

[23] Sun, Q.-F., Jiang, Z. T., Yu, Y. & Xie, X. C. Spin superconductor in ferromagnetic graphene. *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 214501 (2011).

[24] Sun, Q.-F. & Xie, X. C. The spin-polarized $\nu = 0$ state of graphene: a spin superconductor. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 245427 (2013).

[25] Bao, Z-Q., Xie, X. C. & Sun, Q.-F. Ginzburg-Landau-type theory of spin superconductivity. *Nature Communications* **4**, 2951 2013.

[26] Griffiths D. J. *Introduction to Electrodynamics, 4th ed.* (Pearson, London, 2013).

[27] Hnizdo V. Magnetic dipole moment of a moving electric dipole. *Am. J. Phys.* **80**, 625 (2012).

[28] Ginzburg, V. L. & Landau, L. D On the theory of superconductivity. *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **20**, 1064-1082 (1950).

[29] Nowack, K. C. *et al.* Imaging currents in HgTe quantum wells in the quantum spin Hall regime. *Nature Materials* **12**, 787-791 (2013).

[30] Simpsons, J., Lane, J., Immer, C. & Youngquist, R. Simple Analytic Expressions for the Mangetic Field of a Circular Current Loop. *Tech. Rep. NASA*, (2011).

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by NBRP of China (2012CB921303 and 2012CB821402) and NSF-China under Grants Nos. 11274364 and 91221302.

Author contributions

Q.D.J., Z.Q.B., Q.F.S., and X.C.X. performed the calculations, discussed the results and wrote this manuscript together. Q.D.J. and Z.Q.B. contributed equally to this work.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Q.F.S. or X.C.X.

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Appendix A: Supplementary Information for "Theory for electric dipole superconductivity with an application for bilayer excitons"

Qing-Dong Jiang, Zhi-qiang Bao, Qing-feng Sun, and X. C. Xie

Supplementary Note 1: An alternative set of equations for the electric dipole superconductor.

Starting from Eqs. (3) and (4) in the main text, we can get an alternative set of equations to describe the electric dipole superconductor in the steady state. First of all, make some simplification on Eq. (4) in the main text, i.e,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla\times\mathbf{B} =& -\mu_0\nabla\times(\mathbf{J}_p\times\hat{p}_0)\\ =& -\mu_0(\hat{p}_0\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{J}_p-\mu_0\hat{p}_0(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{J}_p)\\ =& -\mu_0(\hat{p}_0\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{J}_p.\end{aligned}\tag{A1}$$

The term $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{J}_p$ is taken to be zero in the steady state because of the conservation of the super electric dipole current in material. By taking curl on both sides of the London-type equation (3) in the main text, one has $\nabla\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{J}_p)=-\beta(\hat{p}_0\cdot\nabla)(\nabla\times\mathbf{B})$. Combining this equation and Eq. (A1), we obtain the equation for the super electric dipole current density

$$\nabla\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{J}_p)=\mu_0\beta(\hat{p}_0\cdot\nabla)^2\mathbf{J}_p,\tag{A2}$$

where the characteristic dimensionless ratio $\mu_0\beta=\mu_0 np_0^2/m^*$ governs the screening strength. If we take curl on both sides of Eq. (A1), it transforms into $\nabla\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{B})=-\mu_0(\hat{p}_0\cdot\nabla)(\nabla\times\mathbf{J}_p)$. Combine this equation and the London-type equation (3) in the main text, then we get the equation for magnetic field

$$\nabla\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{B})=\mu_0\beta(\hat{p}_0\cdot\nabla)^2\mathbf{B}.\tag{A3}$$

Now, we have obtained an alternative set of Eqs. (A2) and (A3) describing the magnetic field and the super electric dipole current separately in the electric dipole superconductor.

Supplementary Note 2: The Hamiltonian of a moving electric dipole and the kinetic energy term of the electric dipole superconductor

An electric dipole moving with velocity $\mathbf{v}$ in magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ can feel an electric field $\mathbf{E}'=\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}$, and the corresponding energy is $-\mathbf{p}_0\cdot\mathbf{E}'=-\mathbf{p}_0\cdot(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B})=\mathbf{v}\cdot(\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B})$.[1,2] Therefore, the Lagrangian of this electric dipole is $\mathscr{L}=\frac{1}{2}m^*\mathbf{v}^2-\mathbf{v}\cdot(\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B})$, where m^* is the effect mass of the electric dipole. The canonical momentum is $\mathbf{p}=\frac{\partial\mathscr{L}}{\partial\mathbf{v}}=m^*\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B}$. Thus the Hamiltonian of a moving electric dipole in a magnetic field is

$$\mathscr{H}=\hat{\mathbf{p}}\cdot\mathbf{v}-\mathscr{L}=\frac{[\hat{\mathbf{p}}+\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B}]^2}{2m^*}.\tag{A4}$$

The term $\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B}$ is analogous to the term $e\mathbf{A}/c$ for an electron in a magnetic field. So the kinetic energy term of the electric dipole superconductor can be written as: $\frac{|(\hat{\mathbf{p}}+\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B})\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2}{2m^*}$.

Supplementary Note 3: The derivation of the Ginzburg-Landau-type equations of the electric dipole superconductor

First of all, we minimize the free energy shown in Eq. (5) in the main text with respect to the complex conjugate of the order parameter ψ^*. For the second and third terms of Eq. (5) in the main text, we have

$$\delta\int_V d\mathbf{r}\left\{\alpha(T)|\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2+\frac{\beta(T)}{2}|\psi(\mathbf{r})|^4\right\}=\int_V d\mathbf{r}\left\{\left[\alpha(T)\psi(\mathbf{r})+\beta(T)|\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2\psi(\mathbf{r})\right]\delta\psi^*(\mathbf{r})\right\}.\tag{A5}$$

For the fourth term, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\int_V d\mathbf{r}\frac{|(\hat{\mathbf{p}}+\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B})\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2}{2m^*} =& \int_V d\mathbf{r}\left\{[\frac{i\hbar}{2m^*}\nabla\delta\psi^*(\mathbf{r})]\cdot[(-i\hbar\nabla+\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B})\psi(\mathbf{r})]\right.\\ &\left.+\frac{1}{2m^*}[(\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B})\delta\psi^*(\mathbf{r})]\cdot[(-i\hbar\nabla+\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B})\psi(\mathbf{r})]\right\}.\end{aligned}\tag{A6}$$

It should be noted that

$$\int_V d\mathbf{r}\left\{\frac{i\hbar}{2m^*}\nabla\delta\psi^*(\mathbf{r})\cdot[(-i\hbar\nabla+\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B})\psi(\mathbf{r})]\right\} = \frac{i\hbar}{2m^*}\oint d\mathbf{S}\cdot\{\delta\psi^*(\mathbf{r})(-i\hbar\nabla+\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B})\psi(\mathbf{r})\} - \frac{i\hbar}{2m^*}\int_V d\mathbf{r}\{\delta\psi^*\nabla\cdot[(-i\hbar\nabla+\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B})\psi(\mathbf{r})]\}. \quad \text{(A7)}$$

Combining Eqs. (A5), (A6) and (A7), we can obtain:

$$\alpha(T)\psi(\mathbf{r})+\beta(T)|\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2\psi(\mathbf{r})+\frac{[\hat{\mathbf{p}}+\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B}]^2\psi(\mathbf{r})}{2m^*}=0, \quad \text{(A8)}$$

and

$$[-i\hbar\nabla+\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B}]_n\psi(\mathbf{r})=0. \quad \text{(A9)}$$

Eq. (A8) is the first Ginzburg-Landau-type equation and Eq. (A9) is the boundary condition for the first Ginzburg-Landau-type equation, where the subscript n stands for the component perpendicular to the surface. Here, we emphasize that this boundary condition is actually the requirement of the variational principle. In fact, if we substitute Eq. (A9) into Eq. (8) in the main text, we can get $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{p}_n}=0$, which means that there is no electric dipole current entering or leaving the electric dipole superconductor. Similar discussions on boundary condition of the (monopole) superconductor can be found in the original paper written by Ginzburg and Landau[3].

Next, we minimize the free energy with respect to the vector potential $\mathbf{A}$. For the fourth term of Eq. (5) in the main text, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\int_V d\mathbf{r}\frac{|(\hat{\mathbf{p}}+\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B})\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2}{2m^*} &= \frac{1}{2m^*}\int_V d\mathbf{r}[\mathbf{p}_0\times\delta(\nabla\times\mathbf{A})]\psi\cdot[(i\hbar\nabla+\mathbf{p}_0\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{A}))\psi^*]+c.c\\ &= \frac{1}{2m^*}\int_V d\mathbf{r}\delta(\nabla\times\mathbf{A})\cdot\{[\psi(i\hbar\nabla+\mathbf{p}_0\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{A}))\psi^*]\times\mathbf{p}_0\}+c.c\\ &= \frac{1}{2m^*}\int_V d\mathbf{r}\,\delta\mathbf{A}\cdot\{\nabla\times\{[\psi(i\hbar\nabla+\mathbf{p}_0\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{A}))\psi^*]\times\mathbf{p}_0\}\}\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{2m^*}\oint d\mathbf{S}\cdot\{\delta\mathbf{A}\times[[\psi(i\hbar\nabla+\mathbf{p}_0\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{A}))\psi^*]\times\mathbf{p}_0]\}+c.c. \quad \text{(A10)}\end{aligned}$$

If we variate the last term of Eq. (5) in the main text with respect to vector $\mathbf{A}$, we get

$$\delta\int_V d\mathbf{r}\frac{|\nabla\times\mathbf{A}|^2}{2\mu_0}=\int_V d\mathbf{r}\delta\mathbf{A}\cdot\frac{\nabla\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{A})}{\mu_0}+\oint d\mathbf{S}\cdot\frac{[\delta\mathbf{A}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{A})]}{\mu_0}. \quad \text{(A11)}$$

Combining Eqs. (A10) and (A11), we can get the second Ginzburg-Landau-type equation, i.e.,

$$\nabla\times\mathbf{B}=-\mu_0\nabla\times(\mathbf{J}_p\times\hat{p}_0), \quad \text{(A12)}$$

where

$$\mathbf{J}_p\equiv\frac{p_0}{2m^*}[i\hbar(\psi\nabla\psi^*-\psi^*\nabla\psi)+2\mathbf{p}_0\times\mathbf{B}\,|\psi|^2]. \quad \text{(A13)}$$

It should be noted that in the derivation the surface integral vanishes due to the requirement of free energy minimization[3,4].

Supplementary Note 4: The non-uniform magnetic field created by the electric current in the cylindrical hollow conductor

In the article, we consider that a non-uniform magnetic field is created by a cylindrical hollow conductor. The inner radius of the cylindrical hollow conductor is R_{in}, the outer radius is R_{out} and the length is h (see Fig. 2a and 2b in the main text). A uniform electric current density j along the azimuthal direction is applied in this conductor. In the following, we calculate the non-uniform magnetic field caused by this electric current in the cylindrical hollow conductor. This non-uniform magnetic field is the external magnetic field applied on the electric dipole superconductor specimen.



FIG. 5: **The spatial variations of the magnetic field and the vector potential created by the cylindrical hollow conductor.** (**a**) The spatial variation of the magnetic field $\partial_z B_z^{ext}$ created by the cylindrical hollow conductor versus the coordinate r in the specimen plane m. (**b**) $\partial_z A_\theta^{ext}$ versus the coordinate r in the specimen plane m. This magnetic field will be applied on the electric dipole superconductor specimen. The parameters are same as in Fig. 2 in the main text.

To deduce the magnetic field caused by the electric current in the hollow conductor, we start from the analytical expression of the magnetic field induced by a circular current loop of radius R carrying current I. The circular current loop lies in the $z = z_0$ plane, and is centered at z axis. Then the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ and magnetic vector potential $\mathbf{A}$ at (r, θ, z) (in cylindrical coordinate) can be immediately calculated through Biot-Savart law[1,5]. The results are

$$B_r(r,\theta,z) = \tfrac{\mu_0 I(z-z_0)}{2\pi\xi^2\eta r}[(r^2+R^2+(z-z_0)^2)E(k)-\xi^2K(k)], \tag{A14}$$

$$B_\theta(r,\theta,z) = 0, \tag{A15}$$

$$B_z(r,\theta,z) = -\tfrac{\mu_0}{2\pi\xi^2\eta}[(r^2-R^2+(z-z_0)^2)E(k)-\xi^2K(k)], \tag{A16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_z B_z(r,\theta,z) =& \frac{\mu_0 I(z-z_0)}{2\pi\xi^4\eta^3}[(6R^2(r^2-(z-z_0)^2)\\ &-7R^4+(r^2+(z-z_0)^2)^2)E(k)\\ &-\xi^2(r^2-R^2+(z-z_0)^2)K(k)],\end{aligned} \tag{A17}$$

$$\partial_z A_\theta(r,\theta,z) = -B_r(r,\theta,z). \tag{A18}$$

In the above analytical expressions, $\xi = \sqrt{R^2+r^2+(z-z_0)^2-2rR}$, $\eta = \sqrt{R^2+r^2+(z-z_0)^2+2rR}$, $k = 1-\xi^2/\eta^2$, and $K(k)$ and $E(k)$ are the first kind and the second kind of the complete elliptic integral. By using the Biot-Savart law and the principle of superposition, we calculate the total external magnetic field gradient $\partial_z B_z^{ext}$ and the gradient of magnetic vector potential $\partial_z A_\theta^{ext}$. It gives

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_z B_z^{ext} =& \frac{\mu_0 j}{2\pi}\int_{-h/2}^{h/2}dz_0\int_{R_{in}}^{R_{out}}dR\left\{\frac{z-z_0}{\xi^4\eta^3}\left\{\left[6R^2(r^2-(z-z_0)^2)-7R^4\right.\right.\right.\\ &\left.\left.\left.+(r^2+(z-z_0)^2)^2\right]E(k)-\xi^2[r^2-R^2+(z-z_0)^2]K(k)\right\}\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{A19}$$

and

$$\partial_z A_\theta^{ext} = -B_r = -\frac{\mu_0 j}{2\pi}\int_{-h/2}^{h/2}dz_0\int_{R_{in}}^{R_{out}}dR\left\{\frac{z-z_0}{\xi^2\eta r}\left[(r^2+R^2+(z-z_0)^2)E(k)-\xi^2K(k)\right]\right\}. \tag{A20}$$

Due to the rotational symmetry of the cylindrical hollow conductor, $\partial_z B_z^{ext}$ and $\partial_z A_\theta^{ext}$ are independent of the angle θ.

Fig. 5a and 5b in the Supplementary Note 4 show respectively the spatial variation of the magnetic field $\partial_z B_z^{ext}$ and $\partial_z A_\theta^{ext}$ versus the coordinate r in the specimen plane m. Here the cylindrical hollow conductor sizes are $R_{in} = 1mm$, $R_{out} = 1\,cm$ and $h = 1.5\,cm$. The current density in conductor $j = 10^8 A/m^2$. We can see that $\partial_z B_z^{ext}$ is quite large while $r < R_{in}$. So we suggest to put the electric dipole superconductor specimen in the hollow ($r < R_{in}$)

if to investigate the Meissner-type effect of the electric dipole superconductor. In addition, Fig. 5a and 5b in the Supplementary Note 4 also show that $\partial_z B_z^{ext}$ is relatively small and $\partial_z A_\theta^{ext}$ is large when below the cylindrical hollow conductor with $7mm < r < 9mm$ (see the yellow color shadow region), and that is why we choose to put the annular dipole superconductor specimen in there for the investigation of the zero electric dipole resistance.

Supplementary Note 5: The magnetic field induced by the super electric dipole current

In this section, we calculate the magnetic field induced by the super electric dipole current in the bilayer exciton system. In the bilayer exciton system, the electric dipole current can be viewed as counter-flow electric currents. Since the bilayer system has the reflection symmetry about the middle plane m and the rotational symmetry about the z axis, the induced magnetic field in plane m only has the nonzero r-component B_r. Although the z-component of the induced magnetic field vanishes in plane m, the spatial variation $\partial_z B_z^{ind}$ does not vanish. Moreover, we note that the induced magnetic field B_r^{ind} and its spatial variation $\partial_z B_z^{ind}$ are twice of that induced by the electric current in one layer. We will calculate the magnetic field induced by the electric current in one layer, and then times 2. At last, we obtain $\partial_z B_z^{ind}$ and B_r^{ind} in plane m:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_z B_z^{ind}(r) &= \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi}\int_0^{r_{out}} dR\left\{\frac{J_p}{\xi_0^4\eta_0^3}[(6R^2(r^2-(d/2)^2)-7R^4\right.\\ &\left.+(r^2+(d/2)^2)^2)E(k_0)-\xi_0^2(r^2-R^2+(d/2)^2)K(k_0)]\right\} \qquad \text{(A21)}\end{aligned}$$

$$B_r^{ind}(r) = -\frac{\mu_0}{2\pi}\int_0^{r_{out}} dR\left\{\frac{J_p}{\xi_0^2\eta_0 r}[(r^2+R^2+(d/2)^2)E(k_0)-\xi_0^2K(k_0)]\right\} \qquad \text{(A22)}$$

where $\xi_0 = \sqrt{R^2+r^2+(d/2)^2-2rR}$, $\eta_0 = \sqrt{R^2+r^2+(d/2)^2+2rR}$ and $k_0 = 1-\xi_0^2/\eta_0^2$.

Supplementary References

* sunqf@pku.edu.cn
† xcxie@pku.edu.cn

[1] Griffiths D. J. *Introduction to Electrodynamics 4th ed.* (Pearson, London, 2013).
[2] Hnizdo V. Magnetic dipole moment of a moving electric dipole. *Am. J. Phys.* **80**, 625 (2012).
[3] Ginzburg, V. L.& Landau, L. D. On the theory of superconductivity. *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **20**, 1064-1082 (1950).
[4] de Gennes, P. G. *Superconductivity of Metals and Alloys* (Westview Press, Boulder, 1999).
[5] Simpsons, J., Lane, J., Immer, C. & Youngquist, R. Simple Analytic Expressions for the Mangetic Field of a Circular Current Loop. *Tech. Rep. NASA*, (2011).